A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ISMĀʿĪLI AND TWELVER SHĪAʾ METHODOLOGY IN TAʾWĪL BASED ON THE TWO BOOKS 'MIRĀT AL-ANWĀR WA MISHKĀT AL-ASRĀR' AND 'AL-KASHF'

Mohammad Reza Aram^{*}

Islamic Azad University, Central Tehran Branch, Faculty of Humanities and Literature, Department of Qur'ānic Sciences and Hadīth, Imam Hossein Square, Damavand Street, Tehran, Iran

(Received 19 November 2018, revised 9 May 2019)

Abstract

The article attempts to analyse and compare the Ismā'īli and Twelver Shīa' methodology in 'ta'wīl' based on the two books of 'Mirāt al-Anwār wa Mishkāt al-Asrār' the compilation of great Shīa' scholar Abūl Hassan Tāhir 'Amilī (1139/1747); and the book 'Al-Kashf' written by Ja'far ibn Mansūr Al-Yaman (347/955) of the 'da'īs' of Ismā'īli, who had a high prominent place among the Ismā'īli Caliphs. The research deals with the two authors biography and their position, the methodology of their two outstanding works 'Al-Kashf' and 'Mirāt al-Anwār', the topics that were discussed by the two authors in their books, such as: the issue of 'Imāmate' and 'Wilāyat' of 'Alī and blaming the enemies, the correspondence of the esoteric aspects of verses and narratives with the Twelver Shīa' and Isma'ili beliefs, and the position of esotericism and exotericism, 'mathal' and 'mamthul' (symbol and symbolized), 'zahir' and 'batin', 'ta'wil', experts and those who possess the knowledge of 'ta'wīl' in the Twelver Shīa', and Ismā'īli as well, and also expressions of some of the two authors' beliefs have been discussed in the two books. The main topics of this research revolve around three axes: semantics, comparison of methodology of Ismā'īli and Twelver Shīa' in esoteric interpretation of Qur'ān and the two examples of ta'wīl in classical tafsīr works of 'Amilī and Ja'far.

Keywords: Ta'wīl, Ismā'īli, Twelver Shīa', Mirāt al-Anwār wa Mishkāt al-Asrār, Al-Kashf

1. Introduction

The term $Ta \, w\bar{l}l$ has an important place in Islamic tradition, especially in Qur'ānic commentary and mysticism, but also in other religious disciplines, such as Dogmatic theology, Principles of jurisprudence and Philosophy.

^{*}E-mail: m.aram@utoronto.ca, tel.: +989123238418

To answer the question of whether Twelver Shi'i and Ismā'īli [1] authors had a particular method for deriving the esoteric interpretation $(ta'w\bar{\imath}l)$ of particular Qurānic verses, we should study the '*Mirāt al-Anwār*' by great Shīa' scholar Abūl Hassān Ṭāhir al-'Amilī, (1139/1747) and '*Al-Kashf*' of Manṣūr al-Yaman (347/955) a high-ranking Isma'ili's $da'\bar{\imath}$, to see how the authors justify their use of $ta'w\bar{\imath}l$, and with comparison of these various approaches to each other, the common points and differentiation of them will be realized. Therefore, this research's supreme aim is none other than the cognition of methodology of $ta'w\bar{\imath}l$ in the both Ismā'īli and Twelver Shīa', and the methods employed in the two examples texts belonging to the esoteric narratives of Qur'ānic interpretation, with focus on the two books: '*Mirāt al-Anwār* and '*Al-Kashf*'.

It should be mentioned that despite the Ismā'īlis interpretation of the Qur'ānic verses radically differs from the Sunni interpretation but there are some similarities in the method of *ta*'*wīl* interpretation of Qur'ān between Ismā'īli and Twelver Shīa'.

2. Description

Some etymologists believe that the words $tafs\bar{i}r$ and $ta'w\bar{i}l$ are used almost synonymously [2], but in the Shīa' Islam both have distinct meaning. $Tafs\bar{i}r$ in Shīa' Islam refers to the manifest meaning of the Qur'ān and $ta'w\bar{i}l$ refers to its hidden meaning [3].

Ta wīl originally derived from the root (a-w-l= l_{e}) and it means 'return to the origin' [4-7]. Ta wīl among the Muslim scholars of both sects has different definitions, and they also differ on the meaning of the sixth verse of the Sūra Āl-e 'Imrān [8, 9].

Ibn Taymīyyah (728/1336) says that the term 'ta'w \bar{l} ' versus ' $tafs\bar{\iota}r$ ' is a term used in the words of the contemporary scholars; they have considered it to be esoteric, in contrast to the apparent meanings, which they called it ' $tafs\bar{\iota}r$ '. Ta'w $\bar{\iota}l$ in the term salaf (predecessor) is referred to the interpretation of the word, and the intended truth and the gist of the word [10].

Tabātabāī (1401/1981) quotes the words of ibn Taymīyyah in *Tafsīr al-Mīzān* and on the one hand, he accepts it and on the other hand, rejects it. He accepts his word since he believes that $ta'w\bar{v}l$ contains all the verses of Qur'ān [8, 11] and that he considers $ta'w\bar{v}l$ as the truth that is beyond the concepts and phrases [7, p. 99], but because ibn Taymīyyah considers the $ta'w\bar{v}l$ to be just the exterior essence, he does not accept his word [7, p. 49].

Rashīd Ridā (1354/1935) says: We now know $ta'w\bar{\imath}l$ of the Qur'ān's words and its promises and warnings, but we do not know when it is done? [12]

Some Shiite scholars believe that the significance of $ta w\bar{l}$ is to the extent that the wars imposed on Imām 'Alī were due to the $ta w\bar{l}$ of the Qur'an [13].

The doctrine of the *Imāmate* is an important subject. The doctrine of the *imāmate* however, leads to a little deeper differences between the Twelver Shīa' and Ismā'īli Shiites [14, 15] but both accept the *hadīths* of the Prophet, of his

daughter Fatima and the *imāms*, as being of equal importance and validity and they are even as holy as are the Words of God [16].

3. Methodology of Ta'wīl in the Twelver Shīa'

Twelver Shi'i scholars have a specific method for $ta'w\bar{v}l$ of particular Qurānic verses. The basis of the $ta'w\bar{v}l$ in Twelver Shīa' is the issue of *Imamate*, so at first, the doctrine of the *imāmate* should be discussed. There are many narratives regarding the relationship between *imamate* and $ta'w\bar{v}l$ in Shi'ite hadith collections [17].

There are two distinct kinds of narratives in *imāmism*: There are first of all the juridical narratives like those found in juridical compilations such as the *Furu* in al-Kulaynī's *Kafī* (328/941), Sheik Ṣadūq's book (381/991) *man la yaḥduruhul faqih*, and there are other narratives that refer to the vast and abundant knowledge of the *imām* saying that the *imām* not only possess the whole and every type of knowledge of the worlds but also possess the true esoteric and exoteric knowledge of Qur'ān [18].

Another important issue that should be discussed here is the position of esotericism and exotericism in the Twelver Shīa'. The doctrine of the *imāms* revolves around two central, polarized axes. The first is 'vertical'; it may be characterized by the two complementary poles defined by pairs such as *zāhir/bāțin* [19] (apparent/hidden; exoteric/esoteric), *nabī/walī* (Prophet/*Imām*), *tanzil/ta* '*wīl* (literal revelation/spiritual interpretation), Muhammad/'Alī (the first whom represents lawgiving Prophecy, the exoteric part of religion, while the second represents the *imāmate* mission that consists in unveiling the esoteric part of the religion), *islām/imān* (submission to exoteric religion/initiation to esoteric religion), etc. [20].

According to Twelver Shīa' belief, it must be made specific here that exotericism is presented as being equally as important as esotericism [7, p. 72-74]. It might be said that without exotericism, esotericism has no ground in which to reside. The $z\bar{a}hir$ constitutes the foundation and the necessary support of the $b\bar{a}tin$ [21]. From this point on, we are able to distinguish at least three complementary and increasingly more 'secret' levels in the teaching attributed to the *imāms*: An exoteric level; a level that might be referred to as esoteric for the closest of the disciples; and a strictly esoteric, very secret level for an elite number of the particular devoted disciples [22].

4. Methodology of Ta'wīl in Ismāʿīli

According to Ismā'īli thought, $ta'w\bar{u}l$ is based on the concept of *al-mathal* wa al-mamhhūl [14, 23]. Ismā'īlis believe that every physical phenomenon have spiritual counterparts [24]. To everything that is apparent, literal, external, exoteric (*zāhir*) there corresponds something hidden, spiritual, internal, esoteric (*bāțin*) [25-27]. The world of the senses is an imperfect example or symbol

(*mathal*) of the world of real and subsistent images, which is the *mamthul*, the archetype or symbolized [24, p. 62-63].

One of the most important factors in $Ism\bar{a}$ ' $\bar{1}li$ approach to the esoteric interpretation of verses is the conflicts of some verses with each other and with the obvious reasons. The incompatible verses, in $ta'w\bar{v}l$ of $Ism\bar{a}'\bar{1}li$, are interpreted according to each other and by the reason, but a new word never replaces the words of the verse; however, in $ta'w\bar{v}l$ of other sects, the words of the verse are replaced with another word.

It is noteworthy that in view of the enemies of $Ism\bar{a}$ Tlis, the ta $w\bar{\imath}l$ that $Ism\bar{a}$ Tlis believe is the made of their religious special interests and is incompatible with the Qur' $\bar{a}n$ and the reason [28]. Their notion of ta $w\bar{\imath}l$ in $Ism\bar{a}$ Tli, completely is inconsistent with what exists as a ta $w\bar{\imath}l$ in $Ism\bar{a}$ Tli thought, or at least theoretically, is not in line with the ideas of $Ism\bar{a}$ Tlis, so it seems that the factors other than scientific discourse have impact in shaping of these trends against $Ism\bar{a}$ Tli thought. Especially, considering the fact that the sects like Kaysāniyah, Mokhtāriyah and Hāshemīyah before $Ism\bar{a}$ Tlis were the precursors in ta $w\bar{\imath}l$ and they were interpreting the verses like the $b\bar{a}tinis$ [26].

According to the both Ismā 'īli and Twelver Shīa', ordinary people are not supposed to know the original meaning or the $ta'w\bar{u}l$ [8, p. 246]. It is only the chosen few or the initiated who are entitled to know [29, 30].

The basis of the Ismā'īli da'wa (invitation) has been quite secretly, so this is one of the most important factors that made it difficult to access their interpretive resources. To illustrate and analysis the Ismā'īli $ta'w\bar{l}l$, and to eliminate the attacks is intended against them, it is worthy that, first some of the features of $ta'w\bar{l}l$ in Ismā'īli views should be discussed and reviewed.

5. Review of the book 'Mirāt al-Anwār'

The book '*Mirāt al-Anwār wa Mishkāt al-Asrār*', which was first published as '*Muqadamat al-Tafsīr al-Burhān*' is a compilation of great Shīa' scholar Abūl Hassan ibn Muḥammad Ṭāhir 'Amilī Nabāṭī Fatūnī of the prominent of the twelfth century AH [31]. *Mirāt al-Anwār* has been arranged in three sections and aims to explain the role of *imāms* in the interpretation and understanding of the inner meanings of Qur'ān [32].

According to $\bar{A}q\bar{a}$ Bozorq Tehrānī (1389/1970), this book has been printed in two volumes and it has been known as '*Mirāt al-Anwār wa Mishkāt al-Asrār*'. He says: "We know the first volume of this book as an introduction to the *Tafsīr al-Burhān*, but the second volume, which is in two versions, is not available to us" [33].

In this book, $ta'w\bar{v}l$ of verses has been based on the narratives texts [34]. This *tafsīr*, in one version, which is in large format, includes from the beginning of *Sūra Fātiḥa* to the middle of *Sūra Baqara*, and in another version, it has continued until the verse 4 of *Sūra Nisā*, and the total is greater than the first volume, which is included in the introduction of *Tafsīr al-Burhān*.

Āqā Bozorq Tehrānī has seen the version which is by the middle of $S\bar{u}ra$ Baqara in the library of 'Mīrzā Muḥammad Tehrānī (1287/1870) in Samarra, and another version, up to verse 4 of $S\bar{u}ra$ Nisā, in the library of 'Sheikh 'Alī Kāshif al-Ghitā' in Najaf [34, p. 265].

The review of this book has been made by a number of both Sunni and Shīa' scholars. Among the Sunni scholars who have reviewed the book *Mirāt al-Anwār* is Muḥammad Ḥusain Dhahabī (1397/1977), who has reviewed and introduced this book in about 33 pages and regarded this book as one of the most important Shīa' commentary books [35], which, of course, has been criticized by Shiite scholars.

Zurqānī, (1367/1948) another Sunni scholar, also has a short introduction to this book [36], whose opinion on this book, as Dhahabī's opinion, needs to be criticized.

Ma'rifat, (1427/2006) considering the introduction of *al-Burhān* as an independent book of the *Tafsīr al-Burhān*, states: "This book is a compilation of famous narrator, Abūl Hassan Ṭāhir 'Amilī, which is arranged in three parts to show the role of *imāms* in *ta'wīl* of the verses of Qur'ān. The book is a valuable collection in terms of content, but often has relied on weak and invalid narratives" [37].

Bābāeī, (1373/1954) explains the book and its structure in detail, saying: "The printed volume of the book, written in the preface of the commentary, has a foreword, three introductions and a conclusion" [38].

'Amilī, the author of *Mirāt al-Anwār*, in the foreword, after praising the Lord and the Prophet and his Household, while pointing out that every verse of the Qur'ān has appearance and inner, rather seven and seventy inners, states: "There are many narratives that are too frequent, indicating that *ta'wīl* of Qur'ān, as well as a large part of its interpretation, have been revealed to demonstrate the grace and high status of the Prophet and *imams*" [34, p. 5-6]. In the first introduction, he expresses the esoteric reality of the Qur'an, saying: "The Qur'ān's inner aspects call to the *imāmate* and *wilāyat*, and its appearance calls to the monotheism and prophecy" [34, p. 7]. Author, then explains the praised status of *Ahl al-Bayt* in three articles, and describes the contents of the first and second articles in five chapters.

He has dedicated the second introduction to the expression of some changes occurred in the Qur'ān (in the author's opinion) in four chapters, which has led to an understanding that the guidance of the *imāmate*, *wilāyat* and the virtues of the *Ahl al-Bayt*, and the requirements to obey them should be achieved through virtual, mysterious and occult; also, the narratives related to the change of the Qur'ān by two Sunni and Shiite sects and the scholars' view of acceptance and denial of change have been expressed.

The third introduction, which consists of the most part of the book, is the expression of the traditions of *Ahl al-Bayt* about the esoteric interpretation of some verses, and also some narratives for the verses that there is no specific text for their $ta'w\bar{vl}$.

This introduction composed of two articles: The first article is in the form of specific $ta w\bar{l}$ that the author has mentioned separately for their importance and their high benefits, which are expressed in seven chapters. To avoid exaggerated sense of understanding of the traditions, he described the meaning of extremism and explained the people of exaggeration; then in the second article, he expresses the general $ta w\bar{l}$ that are also discussed in the other cases.

In this article, the words of the Qur'ān are presented in alphabetical order, and their $ta'w\bar{\imath}l$ are provided in accordance with some narratives given in some cases, but their $ta'w\bar{\imath}l$ has been generalized and extended in some cases without any narrative. These words start from 'Al-Ab' and end to 'Al-Yad'

End part of the book also has two chapters: The first chapter deals with the narratives written in $ta'w\bar{\imath}l$ of the disconnected letters of Qur'ān, and the descriptions of some viewpoints of scholars about it. The second chapter focuses on the expression of several points that explains the methods used in writing this $tafs\bar{\imath}r$ such as plurality of different $ta'w\bar{\imath}ls$ for a word and its justification, and documentation of $ta'w\bar{\imath}l$ of this book into narratives.

6. Review of the book 'Al-Kashf'

Ja'far ibn Manşūr al-Yaman was a high-ranking Ismā'īli author who flourished during the reigns of the first four Fatimid caliphs. Ja'far had a high prominent place among the Ismā'īli caliphs, and has seen two periods from Qā'īm (344/946) to al-Mu'izz's (367/975) reign [39]. He was also in charge of *Bāb al-Abwāb* in the period of al-Mu'izz's reign [40]. He eventually forced by his brother Abū'l Hassan to immigrate to the Maghreb, and there he continued the rest of his life under the reign of the caliph al-Mu'izz [28]. At the same time as he was in charge of the *ta'wīl* of the verses, he also settled down to the task of training $d\bar{a}'\bar{rs}$ (singular, $d\bar{a}'\bar{i}$) 'Callers to Islām' and organizing their affairs. Ja'far died at an unknown date in the early period of al-Mu'izz's reign [41].

Ja'far's works were often copied and incorporated in later anthologies of Isma'ili literature [42]. They are largely devoted to allegorical interpretation $(ta'w\bar{u}l)$, in which he relates words and expressions from the Qur'ān, Islamic rituals, and letters of the Arabic alphabet, to the grades of the hierarchy of the Fatimid da'wa (*hudūd al-dīn*); the numbers seven and twelve feature prominently in his scheme [28]. Among Ja'far's early works is *Kitāb al-Kashf* containing esoteric interpretation of some verses and several complete Qur'ānic Sūras that has been compiled in six treatises dealing mainly with the subject of the *imamate* [43]. Ja'far viewed the *imāmate* as a continuation of prophecy [*Encyclopedia Iranica*, Vol. XIV, Fasc. 4, December 2008, 349, http://www.iranicaonline.org].

The book *Al-Kashf* includes six treatises, that each of which is a metaphor of the famous sixteenth articulators (*Nutaqā*) for the Ismā'īlis. These six treatises are presented below.

The first treatise includes the praise of God, the interpretation of some of the Our'anic verses and emphasis on the covenant, which God has made with His messengers to conceal the secret and the true books from the strangers except for one who is qualified to receive it, and *Al-Kashf* is one of these books, which if it is disclosed to another, the one who reveals it will be punished [43]. And also in this treatise, the author talks about *Hujaj* (plural of *Hujjat* in Arabic - meaning proof) including Adam and his *hujjat* Sheith, Nūh and his *hujjat* Sām, Ibrahim and his hujjat Ismā'īl, Moses and his hujjat Yūsh'a, Jesus and his hujjat Sham'ūn, Muhammad and his hujjat 'Alī, and hujaj of Imām 'Alī and Hassan and Husain, and their *hujaj* from their offspring 'Alī ibn al-Husain, his *hujjat* Imām Bāgir, his hujjat Imām Sādig, and also Imāms after Imām Sādig from his offspring, one after another till the advent of Oāiem. (It should be mentioned that Ja'far ibn Mansūr al-Yaman, in the first treatise of his book, Al-Kashf, in three places, respectively, on pages 32, 33 and 46 writes and emphasizes that Sham'ūn is the *hujjat* (proof/successor) of Jesus Christ.) The author also talks about the cause of naming the orphans and *hujaj* as night and day, and the companions of whisper and the companions of covenant, prohibiting the followers from assigning partners to the wilavat of 'Alī whom God appointed as *walī* and *imām*.

Third treatise explains the esoteric aspect of the praise $(tasb\bar{t}h)$, which is the knowledge of truth and its recognition in any era, as well as the *imām* who is unique and does not have any counterpart. Ibn Manşūr believes that no one is superior to the *imām*, and God placed him as the source and *bāțin* of religion as He placed the Prophet as *zāhir* of religion; then, using the coded and mysterious letters, the author reminds them of those who oppressed the *imām* whom Allāh preferred him to them, and asserts that the enemies did not obey Allāh's command concerning the *imām*, and did not fulfil the Prophet's command about the successor after him [43, p. 63-90].

In the fourth treatise, Ja'far quotes a *hadīth* narrated from Imām Ṣādiq, saying: "God did not create any name unless has made a meaning for it, and He did not create the meaning of the name unless He created a spirit for it, and then made a rank for the spirit, and made for the rank a third dimension (*Qutr*), and for the $qu\bar{i}r$ a separation, and for each separation, He made a connection, the separated one is not known except by the connected one, and when the people talk to the connected one, they will understand it" [43, p. 91-92].

The fifth treatise deals with the theory of Al-mathal wa al-mamth $\bar{u}l$. In this treatise, author tries to explain some issues about *al-mathal wa al-mamthul* and then dismisses some doubts about it. Discussion on zāhir and bātin in all creation and in the verses of Qur'an, can be traced in many parts of Al-Kashf. Then he explains ta wil of Hajj, stating that the likeness of Ka'ba is like hujjat that resembles the ship at the time of Noah, or it is like Eve in the age of Adam. or as Shu'avb in the age of Moses. Then he pointed out that the hujjat (the superior) is connected to a large spring called *imām* [the *huijats* are the ones who are constantly with the *imam* and never separate from him], the *imām-e sāmit* (silent imam: None-speaker of sharīa) is the imām-e bātin (esoteric imām). Ja'far says the *imām* before the *imām* of *shari'a* (the dispensation) is the silent *imām*, so that he can be distinguished from $n\bar{a}tia/speaker$ of the *sharīa*. The imām is the one who possesses the esoteric levels of religion and ranks the $abw\bar{a}b$ (gates) and $du'\bar{a}t$ (inviters), he is a comprehensive of *hudūd* (boundaries) and everything ends to him, and he, by appealing to God, completes the mission to make it achieve the main goal [43, p. 93-137].

In the sixth treatise, the author speaks of quality of the covenants, and emphasizes the necessity of fulfillment and protecting it, and not declaring it to anyone who is not allowed; and he also stresses on the delivery of important esoteric affairs to the *hudūd* (the spiritual hierarchy) and the officials of *hujaj* (the superiors/authorities) and *abwāb* (the elite); and expresses the need to obey them and to conceal their true beliefs and secrets for fear of violent reprisal (*taqīyya*) [43, p. 138-153]. At the end, Ja'far refers to the conclusion, and completes the meaning of the verses.

7. Methodology of ta'wil in the book Al-Kashf

In expressing the method of ta $w\bar{l}l$ in *al-Kashf*, it should be noted that as the name indicates, the author focuses on discovering the secrets, points, and terminology introduced in some Qur'ānic verses. In some cases, the author refers to the interpretation of some letters and tries to match those letters with the religious terms and Ismā'īli invitation ranks [43, p. 13].

According to the *al-Kashf*, there is whole ideal system called *mathal* and here on earth there is a corresponding system called *mamthūl* (representative of the ideal). To understand this, it is necessary to know that Allāh is a totally Transcendent Being who cannot be comprehended by human mind at all. He transcends everything conceivable and is just incomprehensible.

Ibn Manşūr believes, neither the *imām* nor the *hujjat* are in physical bodies, but the person who appears to the people of the *zāhir*, is due to the exoteric and physical existence, as it is known to everybody. Furthermore, since the *imām* and his *hujjat* are eternal, they are immune from all the afflictions of the spiritual and physical worlds. They are incomparable and without any similitudes. Neither change nor transformation has an effect on them. Both truth (*haqq*) and falsehood (*bāțil*), which are the path and the pit of the religion, are illuminated for them [43, p. 8].

From ibn Manşūr point of view, the knowledge of ta wīl is possessed by the *al-rāsikhūn fī al-'ilm*, who are the *imāms* from the offspring of Fatima and 'Alī [43, p. 6]. Not only Allāh but the holy Prophet, his legatee (*waşī*) and *imāms* from his progeny also possess the knowledge of ta wīl. Al-Kashf referrs to '*ilm al-ladunnī* as a knowledge, which passes orally from the Prophet to his *waşī*, and from *waşī* to *imām* and from one *imām* to another [43, p. 6].

Among the unjust accusations to the Ismā'īli sect is the neglecting to the appearance of Law, religion and the verses of Qur'ān. Although this accusation is true in some Ismā'īli groups, but the main body of the Ismā'īli sect are innocent of such charges. Ibn Manşūr in different parts of *al-Kashf* acknowledged to the adherence to the trappings of religion and law and invited the Ismā'īlis to practice the religion. He believes that exotericism is presented as being equally as important as esotericism [43, p. 10].

 $Ta^{\cdot}w\bar{\imath}l$ of some verses in *al-Kashf* refers to those who have usurped the right of Imām 'Alī, and their names are mentioned with code and mystery. This method for such an expression of the names has been used for the first time by the Ismā'īlis for fear of enemies [43, p. 16]. The author's purpose as the $d\bar{a}'\bar{\imath}$ is to understand and transfer the mystery and the esoteric meanings of the verses to the *mustajīb* (the respondent).

8. Similarities and differences in esoteric interpretation in the two books

Among the common topics that both authors have addressed in their books are:

- *Ta wīl* is used in connection with the verse 3:7; it is applied to all levels of meaning, from a simple, literal meaning to the hidden meanings. In the exegetical traditions, *ta wīl* is mostly applied to the hidden meanings and esoteric exemplars of Qurānic words.
- *Zāhir* of Qurānic verses talks about monotheism and prophecy, and its *bāțin* is related to the issue of *imāmate* and *wilāyat*.
- The necessity of faith in both the esoteric and exoteric aspects of the verses.
- The *imams* are the only ones who possess the knowledge of *ta wīl* [43, p. 97].
- The need to love the *wilāyat* and *imāmate* and the majesty of their position and dignity, and to hate their enemies.
- Allah has made covenant with all the prophets and messengers to accept the *wilāyat* of *imāms*.
- Referring to the *imāmate* and the *wilāyat* through mystery and coding.
- God's purpose from *zāhir* of the verses is to make human pay attention to their *ta* '*wīl*.
- The reference and use of verses that have revealed about the previous nations, especially the nation of Prophet Moses to the nation of Prophet Muhammad.
- The use of the word 'Allāh' and 'Lord' as to the prophet and the $Im\bar{a}ms$ in terms of their ta' $w\bar{l}$.

- Addressing some issues including rejection of *Ghullāt* (extremism) [43, p. 27-28], the advent of *Qa'īm* and *Rij'at* (the return) [43, p. 39, 46, 72].
- The apparent interpretation of some words such as the words ((ربّ)), (عاد) and (جابوا) by using the Arabic lexicon.
- Noteworthy that, the definition of *ta wīl* in Tabātabāī view is very consistent with Ismā 'īli and it is in harmony with the principles of *ta wīl* of Ismā 'īlis [7, p. 35-44].

Manşūr al-Yaman in *ta*'wīl of *Sūra al-Fajr* affirms that '*Fajr*' is the Prophet Muḥammad, '*Layāl* al-'*ashr*' is Imām 'Alī, '*Al-shaf'a wa al-watr*' is Imām Ḥassān and Imām Ḥusain and '*Al-layl idhā yasr*' is Fātima. Ṭāhir 'Amilī, has quoted the same *ta*'wīl in his book '*Mira't al-Anwār* [43, p. 66].

The differences in these two books are as follows:

- The main difference between the two books is that in *Mirāt al-Anwār* all *ta wīls* are documented to the narrated *hadīths*; although in some cases, these narratives are generalized to other verses or the author has used the method of *ijtihad* (the inference) based on the *imāms'* narratives, but it cannot be considered self-opinion interpretation. Ibn Manşūr has presented *ta wīl* of the verses in accordance with the reason, and in some cases, he has used the narratives of Imām Ṣādiq and Imām Bāqir as well. However, most of these narratives have been used to introduce the Isma'ili invitation ranks and refer to the famous figures in Ismā'īli, and in the text of some narratives, a kind of instability can be seen, such as the narrative used in *ta wīl* of the verse 40 of *Sūra al-Mi'rāj* [43, p. 34, 43-44, 82, 98-106].
- The issues mentioned in *Mirāt al-Anwār* are much more than the ones mentioned in *Al-Kashf*, which is clearly seen by looking at the volume of the two books.
- The contents of *Mirāt al-Anwār* are perfectly regular and categorized, and for each part, narratives related to that section are given, but this order and arrangement cannot be seen in *Al-Kashf*, and the material presented in this book is irregular and there is a clear dispersion in the subject matter of this book.
- The difference between the two authors is quite clear in defining, accepting or rejecting some issues including the issue of reincarnation, which was rejected by the author of *Mirāt al-Anwār*, while the issue of *Tanāsukh* (reincarnation) by the author of *Al-Kashf*, of course, is accepted by his specific definition [43, p. 89-94]. In addition, the definition that Ṭāhir 'Amilī has presented on extremism is different from that defined by Ibn Manşūr.
- Most of the author's attempts in *Al-Kashf* are the matching of verses and narratives to the principles of Isma'ili's beliefs and their ranks of invitation, such as the correspondence of *A'rāf:142*, *Tūr:1-8*, *Nahl*:68, and many other verses with Ismā'īli invitations ranks [43, p. 27-29].
- *Ta'wīl* of words and their sources have been mentioned and documented in *Mirāt al-Anwār*, but it is not so in *Al-Kashf*; in many cases, Ibn Manṣūr's

view is opposite to the $ta w\bar{l}$ of those words interpreted by the $had\bar{l}ths$ of the *imams*.

In Mirāt al-Anwār the recitation of Ahl al-Bayt has been documentary mentioned in a verse, which is different from the common and usual recitation of the Qur'ān; in many cases, same changes were performed by the author of Al-Kashf while no documentary has been mentioned for it, and it may be considered quite personal and unofficial, such as the insertion of the word (مل) instead of the word (محمده) in (17:52).

9. Conclusions

Among the interpretive books that have been referred to $ta'w\bar{v}l$ of the verses, is the book of Al-Kashf of Ja'far ibn Manşūr, which is one of the Ismā'īli $d\bar{a}'\bar{r}s$ in the 4th centuries, as well as the book of Mirāt al-Anwār, compiled by Ţāhir 'Amilī, who is among the twelve-century scholars of the Twelver Shīa'. The two scholars from two different sects and different two centuries have only devoted themselves to interpreting $ta'w\bar{v}l$ of the verses in their books, but in addition to the fact that the two commentators are different in addressing the verses, the topics that they have mentioned are also different subjects, but sometimes their views on some of these issues are the same, and differ in others, and also the way to deal with $ta'w\bar{v}l$ of the verses is different in both books. It seems that for a better and a more accurate understanding of Al-Kashf, the reader of this book needs to know two different sciences; the science of Simīā (of occult sciences) which is the science of alphabetology, numerology, astrology, planets, etc. and the other is to know $b\bar{a}tin$ and $ta'w\bar{v}l$ are based on the concept of al-mathal wa al-mamthūl.

With a glance at this book, it can be understood that the author himself has authorized this book with regard to these sciences and $had\bar{i}ths$. But for *Mirāt al-Anwār* the reader needs to know esoteric $had\bar{i}ths$ that narrated from the *imāms*, especially Imām Bāqir and Imām Sādiq and for him it is easier to understand the material of the book because $ta'w\bar{i}l$ of the verses in this book is based on the narratives of Prophet's *Ahl al-Bayt*.

At the end, it should be noted that with the identifying the theories of Twelver Shīa' and Ismā'īli scholars and review of their methodology in $ta'w\bar{\imath}l$, it is possible to provide a platform for the represent of the Shīa' Qurānic thoughts and its sub-sects, and to find the factors that have played a major role in understanding the esoteric interpretation in both sects.

References

- [1] S. Ash'arī, *Al-Maqālāt wa al-Firaq*, M.J. Mashkūr (ed.), Scientific and Cultural Publication, Tehran, 1401 AH, 14-213.
- [2] N.N. Jazāyirī, *Furūq al-Lughāt fī al-Tamyīz bayn Mufād al-Kalimāt*, Dār al-Kitāb Jazāyirī, Dār al-Kitāb, 1434 AH, 87.
- [3] H. Abū Hilāl 'Askarī, *Mu'jam al-Furūq al-Lughawīyah*, Eslāmī Publication, Qum, 1412 AH, 131.

- [4] A. Ibn Fāris, *Mu'jam Maqāyīs al-Lughāt*, vol. 1, 'Abd al-Salām Muḥammad Harūn, Qum, 1404 AH, 159.
- [5] M. Ibn Manzūr, Lisān al- 'Arab, 3rd edn., Dār Ṣadir, Beirut, 1414 AH, 32.
- [6] J. Suyūtī, Al-Itqān fī 'Ulūm al-Qur'ān, Dār Ihyā al-Turāth al-Islāmī, Beirut, 1987, 221-222.
- [7] M. Țabātabāī, Al-Mīzān fī Tafsīr al-Qur'ān, Al-A'lamī Lil Maţbū'āt Institute, Beirut, 1393 AH, 35-44.
- [8] M. Kulayni, Usūl al-Kāfī, Publications of Dār al-Kutub al-Islāmīyah, Tehran, 1986, 213.
- [9] M. Țabarī, Jāmi' al-Bayān, Dar al-Ma'rifat, Beirut, 1412 AH, 121-123.
- [10] A. Ibn Taymīyyah, Majmu' al-Fatawā, 4th edn., Dār Ibn Hazm, Beirut, 2011, 17-18.
- [11] M. Majlisī, *Bihār al-Anwār*, Al-Maktabat al-Islāmīyah Publications, Tehran, 1406 AH, 78.
- [12] M. Rashīd Ridā, Tafsīr al-Minār, 3rd edn., Dār al-Minār, Cairo, 1367 AH, 195-296.
- [13] M. Şaffār, Basāīr al-Darajāt, A'lamī, Tehran, 2004, 15.
- [14] 'Ā. Tāmir, Ismā'īlīsm and Qarāmitah, Jami Publication, Tehran, 1419 AH, 143-144.
- [15] M.K. Zīn, Tārīkh al-Firaq al-Islāmīyah, 2nd edn., Mūassasat al-A'alamī lil Matbū'āt, Beirut, 1405 AH, 186.
- [16] H. Ṣāberī, Tārīkh Firaq Islāmī, Samt Publication, Tehran, 2005, 153.
- [17] M. Hurr 'Amilī, Wasāīl al- Shīa', vol. 18, Islāmīyyah Publication, Tehran, 1380 AH, 145.
- [18] S. Al-Radī, *Haqā'īq al-Ta'wīl fī al-Mutashābih al-Tanzīl*, Dār al-Adhwā Publication, Beirut, 1406 AH, 7-14.
- [19] A.H. Rāghib Isfahānī, *Al-Mufradāt fī Gharāyīb al-Qur'ān*, vol. 2, Daftar Nash al-Kitāb, Tehran, 1404 AH, 317-318.
- [20] F. Kāshānī, Al-Mahajjat al-Baydā', 2nd edn., Islāmī Publication, Qum, 1383 AH, 29.
- [21] M. Fādil Lankarānī, *Madkhal al-Tafsīr*, Daftar-e Tablīghāt Eslāmī, Qum, 1412 AH, 161.
- [22] S.M. 'Alī Shāh, *Bayān al-Sa'ādah fī Maqāmāt al-'Ibādah*, 2nd edn., Tehran University, Tehran, 1385 AH, 13.
- [23] A. Rāzī, *A'lām al-Nubuwah*, Muassiseh Hikmat wa Falsafe Iran, Tehran, 1423 AH, 95-97.
- [24] A. Nāsir Khusruw, Wajh-e Dīn, Qubādiyani Marvzi, Asātīr Publications, Tehran, 2005, 151.
- [25] K. Hamīd al-Dīn, *Majmu'at Rasāyīl al-Kirmānī*, Muassisat al-Jam'iyah, Beirut, 1407 AH, 589.
- [26] M. Bahrāmī, Pashuheshhaye Qurani, 32 (1381) 97.
- [27] N.M. Abū Izzeddīn, *The Druzes: A new study of their History, faith and Society*, Brill, Leiden, 1984, 93.
- [28] S.M.K. Mūsavī Bojnūrdī, *Great Islamic Encyclopedia*, *Ismā ʿīlīsm*, vol. 8, Farhād Daftarī, Tehran, 1988, 681-703.
- [29] N. Qādī, Asās al-Ta'wīl, 'Ārif Tāmir (ed.), Dār al-Thaqāfah, Beirut, 1966, 31.
- [30] H. Bahrānī, *Al-Burhān fī Tafsīr al-Qur'ān*, vol. 1, Bonyād Be'that, Tehran, 1415 AH, 27.
- [31] M. Modarres Tabrīzī, *Riyhānat al-Adab*, Khayām Publication, Tehran, 1411 AH, 211.
- [32] M. Nurī, *Mustadrak al-Wasāīl*, vol. 3, Āl al-Bayt li Ihyā al-Turāth, Qum, 1989, 385.

- [33] M. Āqā Bozorq Tehrānī, Al-Zari'at ilā Taşānif al-Shīa', 3rd edn., Dār al-Dhwā, Beirut, 1403 AH, 264-265.
- [34] A. 'Amilī Nabāţī Fatūnī, Mirāt al-Anwār wa Mishkāt al-Asrār, 2nd edn., Muassasat al-A'lami lil Matbū'at, Beirut, 1427 AH, 5-573.
- [35] M.H. Dhahabī, *Al-Tafsīr wa al-Mufssirūn*, Dār al-Kutub al-Hadithiyah, Cairo, 1381 AH, 46-78.
- [36] M. Zurqānī, *Manāhil al-'Irfān fī 'Ulūm al-Qur'ān*, Dār al-Kutub al-'Arabīiyah, Beirut, 1417 AH, 77.
- [37] M.H. Ma'rifat, *Tafsīr wa Mufassirān (al-Tamhīd)*, 5th edn., Tamhīd, Tehran, 2004, 212.
- [38] A. Bābāeī, Makāteb-e Tafsīrī, Samt Publication, Tehran, 2009, 96.
- [39] B. Lewis, The Origins of Ismā 'īlism, Tūs Publication, Tehran, 1983, 172.
- [40] D. Farhād, A Brief History of Ismā 'īli, 4th edn., Foruzān Rūz Publication, Tehran, 2014, 96-113.
- [41] A. 'Azīzī Jawdharī, *Şirāt al-Ustād Jawdhar: wa behi Tawqi'āt al-A'emma al-Fātemiyīn*, M.K. Hussein & M. 'Abd al-Hādī Sha'ira (eds.), Cairo, 1954, 126-127.
- [42] I. Poonawalā, Bio-bibliography of Isma'ili Literature, Undena, Malibu, 1977, 71-75, 144, 150, 317, 323.
- [43] J. Ibn Manşūr al-Yaman, Al-Kashf, Dār al-Andulus, Beirut, 1404 AH, 23-153.